2nd August 1932]

(e) what the procedure adopted was in the case of those who were not arrested under warrant?

A.—(a) 70.

(b) Still under remand—Nil.

Released on 27th February 1932	... ...	12
,, 29th February 1932	... ...	6
,, 4th March 1932	... ...	5
,, 5th March 1932	... ...	5
,, 9th March 1932	... ...	15
,, 11th March 1932	... ...	7
Released on apology	... ...	11

(c) Nine persons were prosecuted under section 17 (1) of the Indian Criminal Law Amendment Act, 1908.

(d) & (e) All were arrested without warrant under section 17 of the Indian Criminal Law Amendment Act, 1908. Nine were prosecuted and convicted. The remainder were released, some on their expressing regret, others because they were persons of no status for whom a warning by the Commissioner was sufficient.

G. T. H. BRACKEN,
Chief Secretary.

To the Legislative Council Office.

APPENDIX XXVIII.

[Vide item X—Papers laid on the Table of the House—page 101 supra.]

PUBLIC (GENERAL) DEPARTMENT.

U.O. Note No. 9462/2, dated the 20th June 1932.

With reference to the answer to the supplementary question to Question No. 1237 given in the Legislative Council on 24th March 1932, the signatories of the complaint are given below:—

(1) U. Mesha Rao, Pleader, Kasaragod.
(2) K. Sundar Rama Shetty, Vakil.
(3) K. Krishna Bhat, Vakil.
(4) Th. Devadar Shenoy, Vakil, Kasaragod.
(5) M. G. Ganesh Narayana Pai, Advocate.
(6) K. Ganesh Rao, Vakil, Kasaragod.
(7) C. M. Kamath, Vakil, Kasaragod.

TRUTH ALONE TRIUMPHS

(8) C. Rama Rao, Pleader, Kasaragod.

(9)
(10) } Illegible.

(11) M. Panduranga Rao, Hosdrug.
(12) V. V. Kamath, Insurance Agent, Kunhangad.
(13) Illegible.
(14) K. Madhava Naik, Insurance Agent, Kunhangad.
(15) H. Janardhan Kamath, Kunhangad.
(16) Illegible, merchants, Hosdrug.
(17) K. Keshav Pai, Merchant, Kasaragod.
(18) U. Miltal Bhandari, Merchant, Hosdrug.
(19) A. Vittal Kamath, son of H. Raghunath Kamath.
(20) K. Vamana, Upendra, Shenoy, Merchant, Kunhangad.
(21) Illegible.
(22) K. Sreedhara Srinivasa Shenoy, Merchant, Kunhangad.
(23) K. Madhava Srinivas Kamath, Katcheri.
(24) H. Sitharama Maiya, Vakil, Kasaragod.
(25) Illegible, Vakil, Kasaragod.

G. T. H. BRACKEN,
Chief Secretary.

To the Legislative Council Office.

APPENDIX XXIX.

[Vide item X—Papers laid on the Table of the House—page 101 supra.]

PUBLIC (GENERAL) DEPARTMENT.

U.O. Note No. 10783-2, dated the 20th June 1932.

With reference to the answer to the Legislative Council Question No. 1259 given on 24th March 1932, the following further information is placed on the Table of the House:—

1259 *Q.*—Diwan Bahadur R. N. AROGYASWAMI MUDALIYAR: Will the hon. the Home Member be pleased to state whether it is a fact that on the 16th January 1932, the Subdivisional Magistrate, Gudivada, sent for one Mikkilaneni Akkayya of Pedamaddali and assaulted him with lathi for celebrating the flag day on the 14th January 1932?

A.—The allegation that the Subdivisional Magistrate sent for one Mikkilaneni Akkayya of Pedamaddali on 16th January 1932 and assaulted him with a lathi for celebrating the flag day on the 14th January 1932 is untrue. The facts are as follows:—

On the 16th January the Subdivisional Magistrate went with Reserve Police to Pedamaddali. There was some